

FinTechs in Europe – Challenger and Partner

Roland Berger Study





The dynamic FinTech scene is likely to be a forerunner of bigger changes to come – Established companies have to take their chances

Management summary



- Trends around digital customers, new technologies, regulation and general
 market environment currently offer good conditions for FinTechs
- 2. Most of the FinTechs are very young, **confident** and **focused on parts of the value chain** – Many solutions are not yet disruptive and their success is yet to be proven in the market
 - 3 FinTechs partially profit from a smaller regulatory burden and the established companies' "legacy burden" But this might change and cause a shakeout
- 4. In the medium term additional pressure will come from tech giants. **FinTechs** are seeking cooperation with banks/insurers A potential win-win situation
- 5. Banks and insurance companies need to be open to new solutions and learn from FinTechs' working methods – However, they must manage their digitization largely by themselves



FinTechs are realistic about their market position – They are a major innovation driver but will not revolutionize the industry alone

Overview of study setup and key results

248 FinTechs from...

18 European countries took part.

54% of them are operating in one of the three segments

> Investing

- > Crowdfunding/lending
- > Payments

	are able to give the market impetus	or take aw to do their	ay the r
91%	Think they satisfy customer needs well to very well throughout	73%	Many u importa compe
95%	Consider their digital compe- tencies in comparison to trad. financial service providers as superior to excellent	6%	Are en disrupt techno
86%	Are aiming to cooperate with traditional financial institutions	66%	Do not will rep financi
70%	Develop new solutions for a specific segment of the value chain	61%	Tend to compa compe

... but will not drive the whole market or take away the need for incumbents to do their digitization "homework"

	73%	Many underestimate the importance of regulatory competence
		Are engaged in highly
0	%	disruptive segments/ technologies e.g. blockchain
	66%	Do not believe that FinTechs will replace traditional financial institutions
-		Tend to consider large tech
	61%	companies key future competitors in the market

Contents

Initia	al situation and introduction to the survey	5
Sur	vey results	11
A	General market perceptions and target segments of FinTechs	12
B	Key success factors and capabilities of incumbents vs. FinTechs	18
С	Transformation of the competitive landscape, collaboration and best practices	22
D	Location factors for FinTech business	29
Field	Is of action	33









FinTechs attract great investment and attention – We wanted to find out how these new entrants will change the competitive landscape

FinTech investment and media attention on FinTechs



Martin Krause-Ablass, Roland Berger: "FinTechs have a realistic view of their role in the market: while they are indeed changing the financial industry, they alone will not herald a revolution. What banks and insurance companies themselves can get out of collaborating with FinTechs are opportunities to drive their own digital transformation. For them, this is about more than technical disruption, it is also about cultural transformation. That is exactly why people say that digitization begins in the head – it is all about having the right mentality. The process of change the incumbents face will certainly be painful but, given the entry of new competitors, it is unavoidable: the market will also be penetrated by tech giants who will ratchet up the pressure on financial service providers in the medium term – and players need to be prepared for this."



So we asked those who are currently at the center of attention – The FinTechs

Panel characteristics and methodology



Broad definition

> In our survey we approached companies founded with the purpose of offering innovative services by applying new (disruptive) technologies in the FS sector

Large panel



- > Roland Berger's FinTech survey is based on the views of 248 FinTech companies that took part and respondents from 18 different European countries
- > The panel was chosen from our comprehensive FinTech database of more than 1,000 European FinTech companies

Qualitative methodology



- > Online survey in the form of a multiple choice questionnaire including several openended as well as option-based questions
- > Opinion-based questions
- > Survey took place between February and June 2016



Four main categories of questions were addressed and evaluated





What are **general market perceptions** (e.g. expectation of market revenue and promising segments) and **main target segments**?



What are **major success factors** within the financial services market and who addresses the success factors better?



How will the **competitive environment** within the financial services industry change and what is the **potential for future cooperation** between FinTechs and the incumbents?



Which **location factors** for FinTech business are crucial (e.g. regulatory circumstances, availability of investors)?



The 248 FinTechs in 18 European countries cover the most relevant markets in Central, Western and Southern Europe





The majority of FinTechs that participated were founded after the financial crisis

Year of foundation and number of employees¹⁾



Key points

market

> Start of FinTech boom after

the financial crisis in 2008 -

Majority of FinTechs are very

establish themselves in the

> FinTechs founded in earlier

years and still in the market

employ a higher number of

young companies that need to

employees in 2010 was due to Funding Circle with a wayabove-average headcount of 500 FTEs

1) The answer to our survey question was optional (216 out of 248 survey participants answered the question)













General market perceptions and target segments of FinTechs



According to all survey participants the segments investing and asset management will hold the greatest potential for FinTechs

Assessment of segment potential for FinTechs

			Key points
Investing and asset management	55%	"Every investment decision might sooner or later become digital."	> More than half of the respondents believe that
Payments	54%	"In the EU, the PSD2 directive will force banks to open up, and payment companies will now have access to more frictionless payments."	the segments investing and asset management, payments and crowd-
Crowdfunding/ lending	52%	"A market that will clearly consolidate and get stronger."	funding/lending have the greatest potential for the
Crypto/blockchain	48%	"This looks like the darling of the FinTech space with huge impacts on the manner in which banks will interact."	future of FinTechs Information/comparison
Data management	44%	"Data will be more and more accessible with the PSD2 directive and it's a very valuable asset that has a lot of potential to provide services with high added value."	portal has the least positive outlook for the future; one respondent
Insurance	32%	"To pool risks no actual institutional set-up is required. It can be organized freely, if appropriate regulation makes cloud insurance trustworthy for buyers of insurance."	claimed that disruption in this segment has already taken place
Information/ comparison portal	13%	"Disruption took place many years ago, [there has been a] lack of significant new developments beyond an increase of user mobile access."	 The European PSD2 directive will have a positive impact on several

segments



Expectation of market revenue development per segment by 2020

		Key points
Investing and asset management	"A global 24/7 well-educated society requires services that are readily available, at high quality for little money and low switching cost."	> Overall, 66% expect a strong increase in their
Crowdfunding/ ending	"A market that will clearly consolidate and get stronger." "P2P lending will be a USD 100 bn market by 2020."	respective segment's market revenue by 2020 – although today some of the
Payments	"Winner takes it all, several incumbents with global presence (from VISA to Paypal)."	segments do not show significant market growth
Data management	"Data will be more and more accessible with the PSD2 directive and it's a very valuable asset than as a lot of potential to provide services with high added value. Being able to take advantage of the data will be key."	> Crowdfunding/lending and crypto/blockchain companies are most
Crypto/blockchain	"Blockchain is a technology with very strong potential as it can be used in different kinds of activities and transactions."	optimistic about the development of revenues
nformation/ comparison portal	"Trust in (large) organizations will continue to decrease. Consumers want assurance before they decide. Independent providers of comparative information are need for that purpose."	> Investing and asset management is the least
Insurance	"To pool risks no actual institutional set-up is required. It can be organized freely, if appropriate regulation makes cloud insurance trustworthy for buyers of insurance."	optimistic segment, however ~50% still expec a strong increase in market revenue

Berge



FinTechs see four main factors pushing their development

Trends accelerating FinTech development as cited by participants

Demographic development



- > Digital affinity of the generations
- > Millennials will dominate assets under management by 2020, requiring online interfaces mixed with remote human advice
- > Digital natives will increasingly enter the workforce

- > Blockchain technology/ cryptocurrency
- > Big data
- > Data science
- > Digital identity management
- > API models
- > Artificial intelligence



Technology



Finance

- > PSD2 (payment service deregulation)
- > Basel III
- > No forex fees enabling global transactions

- > With a low inflation and negative rates environment, [...] there will be a need for better advisory in riskier asset classes in order to obtain higher returns
- > Financial crisis
- > Consumers distrust banks



Regulation



The majority of FinTechs focus on a single target group and B2B models





Most FinTechs started operations in only one country but have ambitious plans to expand strongly within the next five years

Current and expected number of target markets

												Key points
No. of	count	ries as	of too	day		No.	of cour	ntries p	lanne	d in 5 y	/ears	 > FinTechs are eager to expand their geographic presence
48%	16%	12%	4%	4%	16%	7%	2%	10%	6%	19%	56%	 While only ~15% operate in more than a handful of countries today, ~50% plan to be operating in five or more countries in five years' time
1	2	3	4	5	> 5	1	2	3	4	5	> 5	> Strong expansion of companies located in one
	•	counti			nsion							 country within the next few years since 90% want to
	47%		45%	6		45%		43%		39%	6	expand to >3 countries
												> Most popular countries targeted for expansion are UK
	& Ireland	d	Fran	ce	G	ermany		Italy		Spa	in	 & Ireland , France, Germany, Italy and Spain







Key success factors and capabilities of incumbents vs. FinTechs



Customer-related factors are regarded as the key to success in financial services

Key success factors in financial services



1) Numbers do not add up to 100% due to multiple counting (i.e. each respondent ranked five most important key success factors)

Source: Roland Berger



FinTechs expect to be better able to cope with the majority of key success factors

Key success factors and capabilites of market players



Key points

- > FinTechs assign themselves a much higher degree of capability for four out of five key success factors in retail banking/insurance
- > FinTechs score higher on product- & process-related success factors
- > Banks/insurers score better on any kind of resource-related success factors, e.g. financial resources, established trade mark
- > Despite years of distress in the financial industry, FinTechs perceive banks/insurers to be more capable of winning the "trust of customers"



The majority of the surveyed FinTechs are very confident that they serve customer needs and that incumbents are behind on digitization

Grades awarded to banks/insurers and FinTechs

						Survey comments
Readiness of banks for digitization	1%	14%	38%	37%	9%	> "Banks struggle with their structures "
	A	В	С	D	E	> "Most of them are way behind the curve []"
Readiness of insurers for digitization	3%	11%	32%	35%	19%	> " gone in 5-10 years if they don't change their business model now"
	A	В	С	D	E	
Conchility of FinTeche to	240/	51%	400/			
Capability of FinTechs to serve customer needs	21%		19%	7%	2%	> "Many needs are being addressed "
	А	В	С	D	E	

1) A: highest grade, E: lowest grade

Source: Roland Berger





Transformation of the competitive landscape, collaborations and best practices

C



Looking forward, banks/insurers are not regarded as fully capable of digitizing their business model

Current situation: digital transformation capability of banks/insurers

	Not at all	Yes, totally	Key points
Will banks/insurers successfully digitize their business model?			> Uncertainty about the capabilities of banks/ insurers
Will pure direct banks/insurers be better able to react to FinTechs than			 However, sufficient capabilities to digitize their business model not anticipated
banks/insurers? Only banks: Do banks have to cut their			> Direct banks are not regarded as much better in adapting to changing market conditions
branch network even more drastically in order to be more competitive?		 	> Major transformations expected regarding branch network



Tech giants are expected to be the bigger threat for banks/insurers – Acquisition of FinTechs as an option to overcome challenges

Threats from new competition

	Not at all	Yes, totally	Key points
4 Will individual FinTechs focus only on their original segment?	 		 FinTechs will probably develop and broaden their product/service portfolio
5 Will FinTechs replace banks/insurers?			 However, they will not replace banks/insurers Tech giants are seen as
			more dangerous for banks/insurers
6 Will tech giants be a bigger threat to banks/insurers than FinTechs?	├		 Banks/insurers will react to this risk by acquiring FinTechs
7 Will banks/insurers react to FinTechs by acquiring them?			

FinTechs do not see themselves as largest disruptive force – takeovers expected



Banks/insurers are expected to partly copy services provided by FinTechs but are not seen as drivers of bigger disruptions

Competition for FinTechs from banks/insurers

		Not at all	Yes, totally	Key points
8	Will banks/insurers react to FinTechs by launching their own similar services?			 Increasing awareness of banks/insurers to invest in innovative solutions Banks are not seen as the
9	Only banks: Will banks dominate the further development in blockchain technology?	 		dominating force to drive the promising blockchain developments



Collaboration is very important from the FinTech perspective too, and will most likely take place with banks/insurers

Collaborations

				Key points
1	Will we see more collaboration between FinTechs and banks/insurers?	Not at all	Yes, totally	> More collaboration expected between banks/insurers and FinTechs
2	Should FinTechs wish to collaborate: With whom are they more likely to work together?	Banks/insurers	Tech giants	> FinTechs more likely to collaborate with banks/ insurers than with tech giants
3	How important is collaboration from the perspective of your own company?	Not important at all	Very important	 Collaboration important f both sides – it also plays a key role for FinTechs Evaluation of current collaborations is mixed a needs to be improved
4	If you already collaborate with banks/insurers: How do you rate the current collaboration?	Not well at all	Very well	



Collaboration is mostly sought through cooperation, and for the purpose of accessing a strong customer base

The how and why of collaborations

Form of collaboration sought¹⁾ Most important reasons for collaboration¹⁾ Cooperation 86% Access to strong customer base 78% Business relationships 60% Participation 29% Benefit of well-established/trusted brand 59% 14% Accelerator Access to financial resources 49% Incubator 9% Access to know-how (e.g. regulation) 38% Other Other 11% 13%

Key points

- > Cooperation is preferred means of collaboration
- > Incubators and accelerators less popular
- > FinTechs look for access to strong customer base
- > Regulatory know-how a minor reason for collaboration
- > FinTechs are looking for access to regulated services and banking licenses

1) Numbers do not add up to 100% due to multiple counting (i.e. each respondent ranked three most important reasons for collaboration)

Source: Roland Berger



The participating FinTechs also voted on the best-in-class FinTech companies – Clear leaders within the various segments

Most promising FinTechs¹⁾

Investing and asset management	Betterment	WealthFront	Acorns
Crowdfunding/crowdlending	Funding Circle	Crowdcube	Lending Club
Payment services	N26	Adyen	Stripe
Crypto/blockchain	Ethereum	Blockstream	_

1) Respondents were asked to name the most promising companies within their segment

Source: Roland Berger





D Location factors for FinTech businesses



Availability of know-how, talent and strong network are leading location factors

Importance of location factors for FinTech businesses

Factor

Importance (% of respondents¹⁾)



1) Numbers do not add up to 100% due to multiple counting (i.e. each respondent ranked five most important location factors)

2) Ratings were given only by companies that ranked the respective factor among their top five

Source: Roland Berger



Innovative clusters combine ideal location factors for FinTechs

Overview of important location determinants



Availability of know-how and talent



Availability of strong network



Open-mindedness of regulatory authorities



Availability of FinTechsavvy investors



Status quo of regulatory circumstances

- > Proximity to leading universities
- > Access to research and industry experts
- > Availability of start-up ecosystems
- > Physical closeness of various stakeholders
- > Priority of digitization on agenda
- > Willingness to foster local start-up scene
- > Availability of capital
- > Established start-up (investment) culture
- > Digital literacy of region/country
- > Historical degree of government involvement in banking control



France and UK/Ireland provide the best accumulation of location advantages for FinTechs

Rating of current location by region – "Not good at all" (left) – "Very good" (right)



> Rather negative evaluation of "Open-mindedness of regulatory authorities"







Dynamic FinTech development is part of a race for the new normal in financial services – Opportunities of digitization are spread right now

Competitive dynamics in financial services



Race between three major groups:



Banks/insurer digitize their products/ processes step by step

→ Market standard increases within next 2-3 years

- **FinTechs** offer solutions for parts of the value chain
- → Depending on focus they may tend to be a partner to banks
- 10110,100 100,100 1110,100

Tech giants plan to substantially revolutionize financial services by "[modernizing] the way consumers and businesses manage money [...]. We believe that technological transformation will make financial services more accessible, safe and affordable for everyone [...]^{"1)}

→ Mid-term challenge for banks/insurers

Berge



As we see it, both FinTechs and incumbents can learn from each other and cooperate but have also distinctive to do's on their own

Fields of action for FinTechs and banks/insurers

1	FinTechs: Bet on sustainable business models	 > Do not rely on current market conditions and ensure a sustainable business model (e.g. regulatory requirements, data access) > Evaluate carefully if you offer specialized B2B solutions or if you really have the power to disrupt the market with a B2C solution > If you partner with banks/insurer, differentiate yourself and ensure to set market standards > Do not choose a "me-too"-approach but focus on a truly innovative solution, e.g. using blockchain technology
2	Banks/insurers: Do your "own digital homework"	 Leverage FinTech solutions for your own digital transformation but you'll have to do the bulk of the transformation work yourself Adopt your working methods to be more open to innovation (e.g. agile, design thinking) Ensure sufficient investments in digitization but start with lighthouse projects to learn and react step by step Place focus not only on FinTechs but on financial services activities of tech corporations as well – seek partnerships where possible



Roland Berger authors and further digital experts in financial services



Wolfgang Hach

Senior Partner

in



Sebastian Steger

Partner



Berthold-Brecht-Platz 3 10117 Berlin



Martin Krause-Ablass

Principal



Bockenheimer Landstraße 2-8 60323 Frankfurt





Consultant



Sederanger 1 80538 Munich



Oliver Thiem

Consultant



Berthold-Brecht-Platz 3 10117 Berlin

Nordics

Victor

Κ

Kuzmin

in

INTERNATIONAL EXPERTS



 \geq

Sederanger 1

80538 Munich



Romania/ Poland





Portugal



France



Spain



Italy Riccardo Ceccotto Σ in

Switzerland



United Kingdom



Mark de

in

Jonae

 \leq

Netherlands

Roland Berger

